Is Your Compensation System a Problem?

n this second series of articles on alternative fee arrangements, the four of us have attempted to explore how a progressive firm might deal with one of the great impediments to adopting any new change - your firm's compensation system. And while there is no estandard framework or precedent to follow, each of these articles is attempting to provoke you to look at this challenge through a

It might be very useful to have the lawyers in your firm engage in a thought experiment. What we need to do is imagine that our firm, sud-denly, could no longer rely on billable hours to determine any partner's compensation. So here's the question for your next partner's meeting

THIRD IN A FOUR PART SERIES

Co-authored by a Fortune 500 General Counsel, a Managing Partner, a Consultant, and a leading practitioner in alternative fee arrangements.

Now to set the stay for your discussions, a company of the stay of th

Now to set the stage it might be valuable to just explore with the group, the many ways in which our traditional systems for compensating professionals have had some rather perverse side effects.

The perversity of billable-hour based compensation: For ex ample, according to the reports of many spouses, they have had a noticeable effect on the self-worth of those lawyers who take

The perversity of billable-hour based compensation: For example, according to the reports of many spouses, they have had a noticeable effect on the self-worth of those lawyers who take immense pride in what they think they are worth (by what they can hour by a beginning the property of the property of

and measure:

Profitability - Your primary goal should be to inspire profitable performance and we've already reviewed that in detail in the first two articles of this series. However, in addition and as a signal to discourage your attorneys from simply chalking up hours, consider setting a ceiling such

sponsible partners effectiveness as both an engagement manager (does this partner delegate and supervise work effectively?) and as a people developer (does this partner provide feedback that allows me to learn and do a better job on the next assignment?) You could then publish the results to everybody in the firm so that all can see who is judged to be effective at delivening quality. Contribution to business development. This is an important factor and should purposely NOT be quantified so that it is clearly understood that no additional compen-sation will be paid any attorney who exceeds that ceiling. Such an action will also send a clear signal that time s an important ration and is shown purposely vivin be quantilized so that four marketing can be encouraged, and activities like seminars, speeches and articles can be recognized.

Personal skill development: The question within the group becomes: Is this professional working to develop and build their knowledge, their substantive skills, and important activities like mentoring, business developor retreat: "If we never billed another client by skill building will make themselves more valuable and special (read that to mean: meaningfully differentiated) to their clients? The question for each individual member to reflect upon is: What is it that I can meaningcompensate our fellow ful do and contribute to enhance value for my clients now, that I couldn't do for them a year ago? And if your personal answer is zilch, then I think we have a problem. Contribution to the success of others - These contributions should also be judged by your peers and could include recognizing individual team members who contribute value, who follow through on judged by your peers and could include recognizing individual team members who contribute value, who follow through on executing their projects for the team, and who come to the aid of others, above and beyond the cail of duty. It should include recognizing those who make substantive contributions to the firm's knowledge bank and help the group avoid reinventing the wheel in serving clients. It is useful to utilize three-year moving averages on all of these performance metrics, so that you cannot obtain the full reward for top performance until it has been demonstrated for three years. What weight should you give to these factors? As indicated earlier, you should work very hard to say; "there are NO weights." No portion of compensation can be "locked in" by doing well on any subset. You've got to do well on al. You judge the whole professional and the full range of performance in deciding whether high or low compensation is deserved.

Having said all that, many prefer systems based on points or share of the coming year's profits. That way, in any given year, the only way for someone to get more cash is to improve their particular practice group's performance or firm-wide results.

As you explore this issue of compensation without relying on billiable hours, remember that you need to involve everyone in the diagnosis and design, egt their input. Involvement is absolutely essential. We often say, "no involvement, no commitment." AND, keep it simple, it's quite easy to make any compensation system more complicated than it needs to be.

PATRICK J. MCKENNA (www.patrickmckenna.com) works with the top management of premiere law firms to discuss, challenge and

So here's the question for your next partner's meeting or retreat: 'If we never billed another client by the hour, how would we compensate our fellow attorneys?

considered value at compensation time.

Client satisfaction - Using a specific questionnaire or client feedback interview, survey every client at the end of every major transaction or lawauit. Survey each client annually. And (here's the key point) every three months publish the average client satisfaction scores for each group within the firm to all lawyers in your entire firm - high or low. In that way, everyone can easily see which groups are stellar and which groups are less so at serving their clients.

Systematic evaluations of quality - There are two levels upon which you might internally evaluate the work quality being delivered to clients - first by determining whether there is proper delegation and supervision on engagements and secondly, by whether there is career-building and people development feedback provided for those working on the engagement at the conclusion of the matter.

Here again, you should have every group or client team rate the re-

PATRICK J. MCKENNA (www.patrickmckenna.com) works with PATRICK J. MCKENNA (www.patrickmckenna.com) works with the top management of premiere law firms to discuss, challenge and escalate their thinking on how to effectively manage and compete. EDWIN B. REESER is a business lawyer in Psacednas specializing in structuring, negotiating and documenting complex real estate and business transactions for international and domestic corporations and individuals. He has served on the executive committees and as an office managing partner of firms ranging from 25 to over 800 lawyers in size. JEFFREY CARR is vice president, general counsel and secretary of FMC Technologies Inc. PATRICK LAMB is a founding member of Valorem Law Group, a firm that represents businesses in disputes using non-hourly billing arrangements.

